

**8**(1): 273-282(2016)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# QTLs Analysis Controlling Physiological Traits of Barley under Arsenic Stress

Fahime Mousavi\*, Brat Ali Fakheri\* and Farshid Golshani\* \*Department of Plant Breeding and Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zabol, IRAN.

> (Corresponding author: Farshid Golshani) (Received 05 March, 2016, Accepted 10 April, 2016) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: Arsenic (As) is a metalloid substance that can exist in inorganic or organic form and results in heavy-metal-induced plant toxicity via reactive oxygen species production. A population of 72 F1 double haploids derived from the Morex × Steptoe cross, and the two parental lines ,was used to identify the quantitative trait loci (QTLs) associated with arsenic tolerance, and determine the contribution of each QTL on trait diversity in the barley. This study were carried outunder normal and arsenic stress conditions, in two randomized complete block designs with three replications under hydroponic system at Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute, Zabol University, Zabol, Iran, in 2013. physiological traits including Chlorophyll content, proline content, water soluble carbohydrate (WSC), relative water content (RWC), cell membrane stability (CMS) and chlorophyll fluorescence (Fo, Fm) were measured. QTL analysis was performed using the genetic linkage map derived from molecular marker of RFLP and OTL cartographer software (version 2.5) with composite interval mapping (CIM) method. In general we found 23 QTLs for the traits (15 and 8 OTL under control and arsenic stress condition, respectively). Phenotypic variations that were explained by these QTLs changed from 11.10 to 27.31. The highest and the lowest phenotypic variations were related to proline content and water soluble carbohydrate (WSC) QTLs (Qpro5H1.nand Wsc6H2.n) in both conditions, respectively. LOD values ranged from 2.66 to 5.14. The lowest and the highest LOD scores were attained for the QTLs of chlorophyll florescence of arsenic stress condition (QFm7H1.s) and proline content in control condition (Qpro5H2.n).QTLs QFo5H.n and QFo5H.s is ranged from 148.8cM of chromosome 5H, controlling Fo and QTLs Qch7H.n and Qch7H.s in Location from 14.01cM of chromosome 7H, controlling Chlorophyll contents were quite stable. Therefore, if this result would repeat in different environment, years and genotypes, it can be used in marker assisted selection.

Key words: Arsenic stress, Barley, Physiological traits, QTL mapping

## INTRODUCTION

Among the heavy metals (HM), arsenic is one of the major environmental health concerns for human. For plants, arsenic is a nonessential element and is toxic to them. Arsenic is readily taken up by crop plants, enters food chain and causes food Safety problem. It is mobilized in the environment through a combination of volcanic emissions, combustion of fossil fuels and the use of arsenical pesticides and herbicides. Arsenic toxicity is associated with interference in the ATP synthesis, protein degradation, induction of apoptosis and inhibition of enzymatic activity in plants (Sinha et al., 2013). Trivalent arsenical species have high affinity for sulfhydryl groups and can bind to reduced cysteines in peptides and proteins, deactivate some enzymes and that results in root dysfunction (Kuramata et al., 2013).Leaf chlorophyll content is a good indicator of photosynthesis activity, mutations, stress condition and nutritional status of plants (Naumann et al., 2008). Iron (Fe) plays a vital role in many enzymatic reactions of chlorophyll synthesis. Iron absorption and transport is more affected by arsenic toxicity in comparison to other micronutrients, which induces Fe deficiency chlorosis in plants (Munns and Tester, 2008). Chlorophyll content decreased in sorghum and barley shoots due to a Fe shortage in response to arsenic toxicity (Shaibur et al., 2008; Shaibur and Kawai, 2011). Mechanisms of arsenic tolerance and detoxification in plants is including root exudates, and transporting arsenicglutathione conjugates in to vacuoles(Sally et al., 2010). Mohammadi et al., (2008) reported 8 QTLs, which were involved in controlling the chlorophyll content on chromosome 2, 3, 4 and 7 in a double haploid population of barley. Photosystem II activity, evaluate through chlorophyll fluorescence. Photosystem II is involved in the electron transport chain which is very sensitive to environmental stresses (Guo et al, 2008; Gu et al, 2012). A significant correlation was reported between the fluorescence index and carbon fixation under stress conditions, in rice (Guo et al., 2008), alfalfa (Smethurst and Shabala, 2003), sovbean (Yin et al., 2010) and wheat (Zhang et al., 2010).

Today, proline accumulation in different organs of plants is one of the assessment indexes for selection of varieties under stress condition, (Leinhose and Bergman1995). Aminoacids (AAs) play significant roles in metal binding, antioxidant defense, signaling and and accumulation of these elements in plants during heavy metal stress. Under mild arsenic stress, the amino acids content, specifically proline, increases and these factors play an important role in reducing the accumulation of arsenic in plant (Kumar et al., 2014). Almost all morphological and physiological traits that affect the yield are quantitative traits. The genes that contribute to these complex traits are usually several in number (polygenes) and the classic genetic is not able to investigate the gene behavior in controlling them (Wang, 2003). Most of these traits are difficult to use in breeding due to high genotype-by-environment interaction. Arsenic-induced changes in physiological and morphological traits of plants are useful to investigate how plants show tolerance against arsenic toxicity (Shaibur and Kawai, 2011). Selection at genetic level is now possible with the identification of quantitative trait loci (OTL) associated with the stress, but genotype-by-environment interactions are still a problem, since QTL analysis depends on phenotypic characteristics, which in most cases is influenced by the environment. The efficiency of QTL is highly dependent on appropriate phenotype, as well as the traits that selected for screening and their heritability (Wo'jcik- Jagla et al. 2013). Due to the rapid growth in the field of genetic information for QTL and transmission stages of information to the plants with different methods, the main problem in transfer of QTLs by using marker-assisted backcrossing, is complete various information and how to interpret them (Khalily et al. 2014). Determine the number and the types of genes controlling the quantitative traits such as yield, and its related quantitative traits is an important step in plant molecular breeding (Cooper et al., 2009). Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is the world's fourth most important cereal crop after wheat, rice and maize. It is grown in a range of extreme environments and has adapted to diverse environmental conditions (Anonymous, 2013). Barley has been widely used in different OTL analyses with only seven pairs of chromosomes, (Ahmed et al. 2013; Close et al. 2009). Several QTLs linked to physiological traits of double haploids lines derived from a cross between Morex and Steptoe, identified under saline conditions (Aminfar et al., 2011; Siahsar and Narouei, 2010) and drought stress (Fakheri and Mehravaran, 2014).

Hydroponic is a method of growing plants using mineral nutrient solutions, in water, without soil. A popular variation of hydroponic systems is the nutrient film technique or NFT (Allan Cooper, 1965), whereby a very shallow stream of water containing all the dissolved nutrients required for plant growth is recirculated past the bare roots of plants.

Main advantage of NFT hydroponics is the capability of producing very high yields and flow hydroponics include the relatively low start-up cost and ease of use (Domingues et al., 2012). Marker assisted selection could make it possible to study the traits of plantlets, and analyzing several traits on a single DNA sample, then using markers is a faster technique compared to investigating the plant phenotype. Marker assisted selection may solve problems associated with genotype × environment interactions and improve the selection efficiency to find arsenic tolerant plants. The objective of the present study was identifying QTLs involved in genetic variation of physiological traits, assessing the genetic effect of each QTL on quantitative traits and determining the molecular markers linked to QTLs in order to apply marker assisted selection in future.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A population of 72 F1-derived doubled haploid lines (DH) from the cross "Steptoe/Murex" and their two parents were utilized to determine the quantitative trait loci (OTLs) associated with arsenic tolerance. The DHs were developed through a modified 'Hordeum bulbosum' technique, as described by Chen and Hayes (1989), by the Oregon State University Barley Breeding Program and were kindly provided by Hayes (Department of Crop and Soil Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, USA). This experiment was carried in two randomized complete block designs with three replications at the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zabol, Zabol, Iran in 2013. For this purpose, a sheet of Whatman filter paper was laid in the petri dishes (15 cm diameter petri dish) and disinfected seeds were placed on wattman paper in Petri-dish,. Germinated seeds were moved to a hydroponic system and nourished with Hoagland nutrient solution. Macro nutrients were added to the solution. Micro-nutrients were provided from a prepared stock solution and iron from a chelated-iron solution (Table 1). A 67 µmol sodium hydrogen arsenate (Na<sub>2</sub>HAsO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O) was applied as stress treatment. Plants exposed to arsenic stress for a week then physiological traits were measured. and Chlorophyll content and chlorophyll fluorescence were measured using a chlorophyll meter (Hansatech-Modelcl-ol) and a chlorophyll fluorometer (Handy PEA) respectively (Habash et al., 1985). Relative water content (RWC) was calculated by the following equation (Pessarakli, 1999) RWC = (LFW-LDW) /  $(LTW-LDW) \times 100$  where LFW, LTW and LDW are leaf fresh weight (g), leaf turgid weight (g) and leaf dry weight (g), respectively. Cell membrane stability (CMS) estimated by placing 10 leaf disks in the vials containing 20 ml of distilled water for 24 hours and measuring the electrical conductivity of the solution. Combined analysis of variance was performed using proc GLM procedure in SAS with ver. 9.2 (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, Nc.).

The RFLP map and the S  $\times$  M bin map downloaded from the. NABGP website (http://barleygenomics.wsu.edu/ arnis/linkage maps/maps-svg.html) and used for mapping of arsenic tolerance traits. This map comprises 327 markers with an average density of 3.75 Cm (Kleinhofs *et al.*, 1993; Hayes *et al.*, 1993). QTL analysis was conducted separately for each trait in each condition. Analyses were performed using Win QTL cartographer 2.5 (Wang *et al.*, 2007). Composite interval mapping (CIM) was used to detect QTLs and their effects. The lowest LOD score and the lowest walking speed chosen for QTL analyses was 2.5 and 0.1 cM, respectively. Composite interval mapping (CIM) was employed to detect QTLs and estimate the magnitude of their effects (Jansen and Stam, 1994; Zeng, 1994) using model 6 of the Zmapqtl program module.

The percentage of phenotypic variance explained by a specific QTL value (R2) was taken as the peak QTL position as determined by Win QTL cartographer 2.5. The LOD peaks were considered to indicate the most likely position of QTL effects.

Macro elements	The Molecular weight (g/mol)	Concentration of basic solution (g/l)	The volume of basic solution(ml)
KNO <sub>3</sub>	110.10	110.10	6
Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -4H <sub>2</sub> O	236.16	236.16	4
NH <sub>4</sub> H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	115.08	115.08	2
MgSO <sub>4</sub> -7H <sub>2O</sub>	246.48	246.49	1
Microelements	-	-	-
KCl	74.55	1.864	2
$H_3BO_3$	61.83	0.773	2
MnSO <sub>4</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O	169.01	0.169	2
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> -7H <sub>2</sub> O	287.54	0.282	2
CuSO <sub>4</sub> -5H <sub>2</sub> O	249.68	0.062	2
$H_2MoO_4(85\% MoO_3)$	161.97	0.040	2
NaFeDTPA(10%Fe)	558.50	30	0.3-1

Table 1: Composition of Hoagland nutrient solution (Taiz and Zeiger, 1998).

\*, \*\* and <sup>ns</sup> Significant ( = 5%), highly significant ( = 1%) and non significant, respectively

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Combined analysis of variance of 72 doubled haploid lines and their parents ('Steptoe' and 'Morex') showed that effect of environment and Genotype were highly significant (P 0.01) for all of the studied traits. Also Effect of Genotype × Environment was highly significant for all traits except for RWC (Table 2). A high proportion of the genetic diversity was observed for the physiological traits of double haploid lines, which is useful in screening arsenic tolerante genotypes. The same results were reported by Fakheri and Mehravaran (2014) and Khalili *et al.* (2014). A. QTL analysis under control and arsenic stress conditions

The results of QTL analysis for 72 double haploid lines under control and arsenic stress condition are presented in table 3 and 4. In general we found 23 QTLs for all studied traits (15 and 8 QTL under control and arsenic stress condition, respectively). Phenotypic variations that were explained by these QTLs changed from 11.10 to 27.31. The highest and the lowest phenotypic variations were related to proline content and water soluble carbohydrate (WSC) QTLs (Qpro5H1.nand Wsc6H2.n) in both conditions, respectively.

 Table 2: Analysis for different trait of 72 barley doubled haploid lines and their two parents (Steptoe ×

 Morex) in normal and arsenic stress conditions.

Mean squares										
S.O.V	DF	Chlorophyll contents	Prolin	WSC	RWC	CMS	Fm	FO		
Environment	1	8234.95**	44413.69**	97.83**	19837.83**	36205.58**	$1.4^{**}$	452.5**		
Block	2	0.933 <sup>ns</sup>	$0.0026^{ns}$	$0.007^{**}$	$90.65^{**}$	0.415 <sup>ns</sup>	$0.002^{**}$	$0.034^{ns^{**}}$		
(environment)										
Genotype	73	38.85**	402.57**	$0.28^{**}$	478.83**	183.74**	$0.035^{**}$	$4.56^{**}$		
Genotype ×	73	$20.91^{**}$	181.34**	$0.061^{**}$	519.64 <sup>ns</sup>	88.55**	$0.008^{**}$	$1.68^{**}$		
Environment										
Error	146	0.512	20.91	0.001	28.32	1.36	0.001	0.027		
C.V (%)		6.84	6.85	7.71	6.02	5.16	4.20	6.58		
$R^2(\%)$		98.8	99.9	99.6	91.8	99.2	94.2	99.1		

Mousavi	Fakheri	and Go	lshani
monsuri,	1 uniteri	unu Ot	isimin

Table 3: Detected QTLs for different traits in 72 barley doubled haploid lines and their two parents (Steptoe × Morex) in normal conditions.

Plant traits	QTL	Chromosome	Nearest marker	QTL position <sup>a</sup>	QTL interval (95%)	LOD score <sup>b</sup>	Allelic effect	R <sup>2c</sup>	Total R <sup>2d</sup>
Chlorophyll contents	Qch2H <sub>1</sub> .n Qch2H <sub>2</sub> .n Qch7H.n	2H 2H 7H	Bbe54D ABC152D BCD129	80.21 90.21 14.01	79.8 -82.20 88.20 -94.70 12.30 -80.15	2.79 4.06 2.85	-1.38 -1.64 -2.50	12.38 17.33 11.57	41.28
Proline content	Qpro5H <sub>1</sub> .n Qpro5H <sub>2</sub> .n Qpro5H <sub>3</sub> .n	5H 5H 5H	ABG473 ABG390 ABG314E	114.21 183.11 197.21	101.70 -132.40 182.20 -190.50 196.50 -202.90	4.27 2.66 2.85	2.40 1.84 1.69	27.31 13.59 12.06	52.96
WSC	QWsc3H.n QWsc6H <sub>1</sub> .n QWsc6H <sub>2</sub> .n QWsc7H <sub>1</sub> .n QWsc7H <sub>2</sub> .n QWsc7H <sub>3</sub> .n	3H 6H 6H 7H 7H 7H	MWG584 ABG458 ABC169E WG789A dRcsl ABC156d	29.31 47.81 52.81 24.11 32.21 65.61	20.10 -32.10 47.20 -51.60 51.80 -55.80 16.40 -26.70 29.50 -34.90 64.30/ -74.70	4.53 3.16 2.68 5.03 4.54 2.97	-0.085 0.084 0.083 0.10 0.10 -0.082	18.42 12.28 11.10 20.79 20.16 13.30	96.05
CMS	Qcms7H.n	7H	MWG555a	5.71	0.00 -16.70	3.66	1.55	17.65	17.65
Fo	QFo5H <sub>.</sub> n QFo6H.n	5H 6H	ABG712 ABC170E	148.8 74.61	142 -155.40 70.40 -75.70	2.79 2.83	0.025 -0.025	12.26 12.59	25.15

<sup>a</sup>QTL position expressed in cM, from origin of the linkage group (end of short arm); <sup>b</sup>peak value of the LOD; <sup>c</sup>proportion of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL. <sup>d</sup>total phenotypic variance explained by the model.

LOD values ranged from 2.66 to 5.14.The lowest and the highest LOD scores were attained for the QTLs of chlorophyll florescence of arsenic stress condition (QFm7H1.s) and proline content in control condition (Qpro5H2.n). Leaf chlorophyll content is one of the most important physiological traits related to photosynthesis activity in plants under stress. Since the most important morphological and physiological traits affecting yield are quantitative and gene blocks are involved in the incidence

them, classic genetic is not able to investigate the behavior of genes controlling quantitative traits as separate genes. Three QTLs were identified for chlorophyll content in normal condition that had significant additive effects and were detected and mapped to chromosomes 2H, 2H and 7H, that each of them explained the 12.23, 17.33, 11.57 % and a total of 41.13% of the total phenotypic variation, respectively.

#### Mousavi, Fakheri and Golshani

Table 4: Detected QTLs for different traits in 72 barley doubled haploid lines and their two parents (Steptoe × Morex) in arsenic stress condition.

Plant traits	QTL	Chromosome	Nearest marker	QTL position <sup>a</sup>	QTL interval (95%)	LOD score <sup>b</sup>	Allelic effect	R <sup>2c</sup>	Total R <sup>2d</sup>
Chlorophyll contents	Qch7H.s	7H	BCD129	14.01	12.00-15.50	3.11	0.054	18.7	28
Proline content	QPro2H.s	2Н	WG516	21.60	16.10- 25.80	2.74	5.45	16.50	21
WSC	QWsc3H.s	3Н	ABG316A	3.11	0.00-11.10	3.41	-0.116	17.05	35.54
CMS	Qcms2H.s	2H	ABG703b	7.01	5.40-17.90	3.01	-4.47	14.10	33.74
Fm	QFm5H.s QFm7H <sub>1</sub> .s QFm7H <sub>2</sub> .s	5H 7H 7H	MWG813a ABR303 dRCSL	194.51 6.71 30.21	192.10- 195.50 6.40 - 14.00 29.90 -31.90	3.33 5.14 3.19	-0.24 -0.36 0.27	15.16 24.82 14.44	36.28 36.29 36.28
Fo	QFo5H.s	5H	ABG712	148.81	142.70 - 155.20	2.97	0.37	14.14	32.56

 $^{a}$ QTL position expressed in cM, from origin of the linkage group (end of short arm); <sup>b</sup>peak value of the LOD; <sup>c</sup>proportion of phenotypic variance explained by the QTL. D<sub>total</sub> phenotypic variance explained by the model.

These QTLs were located near Bbe54D, ABC152D and BCD129 markers at n 80.21, 90.21 and 14.01 cM. A QTL were detected for chlorophyll content in stress conditions. This QTL located on chromosomes 7H, near BCD12 markers at 14.01 cM, which explained about 11.57 % of phenotypic variation. Wang *et al.* (2003) detected 6 QTLs associated with chlorophyll content that mapped on chromosome 1 and 4. Mohammadi *et al.*, (2008) reported that 8 QTLs were involved in controlling chlorophyll content on chromosome 2, 3, 4 and 7 in a double haploid population of barley.

Five QTLs were linked to chlorophyll content of double haploid barley (derived from the cross between Morex and Steptoe), was identified at saline conditions in a hydroponic system, which explained 68.24 percent of phenotypic variation (Aminfar *et al.*, 2011).Wang et al. (2003) stated that the QTL controlling chlorophyll content located in different genomic regions at different stages of growth.

277

Three QTLs were detected for leaf proline content on chromosome 5H at 80.21, 90.21 and 14.01 cM. Major QTL, Qpro5H1.n, with LOD score of 4.18 justified largest share of the total variance. The role of two other QTL, Qpro5H2.n and Qpro5H3.n, were less that, in general 25.65 % of variation was explained. In arsenic stress condition, this QTL located on chromosome 2H at 21.60 cM. Qpro2H.s had WG516 marker with a phenotypic variance of 16.50 and an additive effect of 5.45. Amino acids during of heavy metals stress periods including arsenic, play significant role in metal binding, antioxidant defense and nutrient accumulation in plants. In mild arsenic stress, the amino acids content, especially proline, increases and results in lower as accumulation in plant tissues (Kumar et al., 2014). In the previous studies (Christopher et al., 2008) it was shown that proline alleviate the effect of NaCl and drought stress on carbon dioxide fixation and Rubisco activity in crops. Aminfar et al. (2011), detected two QTLs for proline content which accounted for 28.21% variance in double haploid lines derived from a cross between Morex and Steptoe, under control and saline conditions. In another study (Fakheri and Mehravaran, 2014), four OTLs were detected on chromosome 1H, 2H, 2H and 5H which associated with leaf proline content in well-watered and drought conditions. Six Qwsc6H1.n, QTLs (Qwsc3H.n, Qwsc6H2.n, Qwsc7H1.n, Qwsc7H2.n and Qwsc7H3.n) were detected on chromosome 3H, 6H, 6H, 7H, 7H and 7H which associated with total soluble sugars (TSS). These six QTLs explained a high percentage of phenotypic variance (96.06) major effect QTL of Qwsc7H1.n with a peak close to WG789A marker had a LOD score of 5.03 and accounted for the highest variations of TSS. Under arsenic stress condition, one QTL associated with TSS, was located on chromosome 3H at 3.11 cM. This QTL linked to ABG316A marker and explained 17.05 % of f phenotypic variance. Water-soluble carbohydrates (WSC) Soluble carbohydrates are an important source of carbon for grain filling. Photosynthesis restriction during environmental stress is a reason of soluble carbohydrate loss in crops (Yang et al., 2007). Siahsar and Narouei (2010), reported two and three QTLs associated with WSC under different conditions of salinity and mean of two conditions, respectively. Fakheri and Mehravaran, (2014) found three QTLs involved in WSC on chromosome 2H and 5H. Under stress conditions, degradation of membranes occurs and more content will leak out of the cell. Lipid peroxidation is considered to be one of the reasons for membrane deterioration in stress conditions (Popova et al., 2009). Only one QTL for cell membrane stability (CMS) was located on chromosome 7H at 5.71 cM. The QTL linked to MWG555a marker and controlled about

17.65% of phenotypic variation in control condition and had an additive effect of 1.55. Under arsenic stress condition, one QTL (Qcms2H.s) was mapped on chromosome 2H near ABG703b marker and accounted about 14.10% of phenotypic variations of CMS with a negative additive effect.

Chlorophyll fluorescence is a measure of photosynthetic performance and is widely used as a tool for the evaluation of environmental stress (Behra et al., 2002; Grafts et al., 2004). Photosystem II (PS II) involved in electron transport chain and is highly sensitive to environmental stresses. Photosynthesis rate, the capacity of NADPH and ATP pools and quantum yield of PS II, decreases by environmental plants (Maxwell and Johanson, 2002). Under saturating light intensities, when the reaction centers of chlorophyll are in an active, open state and the fluorescence yield is minimal (Fo) (Maxwell and Johnson, 2002). Under control condition, two QTLs (QFo6H.n and QFo5H.n) for Fo detected and mapped on chromosome 5H and 6H at 74.61 and 148.81 cM which accounted about 24.85% of phenotypic variations with a negative additive effect. Only one OTL (OFo5H.s) for Fo detected on chromosome 5H at 148.81 cM near ABG712 marker and controlled about 14.14% of variances with an additive effect of 0.037, Under arsenic stress condition. Detected QTLs explained about 24.85 and 32.65% of total variation of Fo in control and arsenic stress conditions, respectively. Siahsar and Narouei (2010) detected three QTLs associated with Fo on chromosome 5H in saline stress. Exposing to a high intensity, short flash of light, catalyzes the reduction of all primary quinone acceptor of chloroplast. This transiently closes all PSII reaction centers, which prevents energy of PSII being passed to downstream electron carriers. During the flash, the fluorescence reaches the level reached in the absence of any photochemical quenching, known as maximum fluorescence (Fm) (Maxwell and Johnson, 2002). Under arsenic stress condition, three QTLs associated with Fm on chromosome 5H, 7H and 7H at 194.51, 6.71 and 30.21 cM that accounted about 54.42% of variations for this trait. The LOD score for these OTLs were 3.33, 5.14 and 3.19, respectively. The additive effects of these QTLs were -0.24, -0.36 and 0.27 respectively. Siahsar and Narouei (2010) detected six QTLs associated with Fm on chromosome 5H under saline conditions. In another study four QTLs were detected for Fm variations on chromosome 2H and 5H, at wellwatered and drought conditions (Fakheri and Mehravaran, 2014).

## Mousavi, Fakheri and Golshani

1H	2H	3H	4H	5H	6H	7H
0.0 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	0.0         ABG313A           1.6         ABG313A           1.6         ABG313A           1.6         Cha15           1.6         ABG318           1.6         ABG38           1.7         ABG459           1.8         ABG38           1.7         ABG459           1.8         ABG38           1.7         ABG459           1.8         ABG38           1.7         ABG459           1.8         ABG006           5.8         MW05857           1.8         CD057           1.8         CD057           1.8         CD0748           1.8         CD0748           1.8         CD0748           1.8         CD0748	0.0         MW05710           2.1         ABG316A         QwsC3Hs           2.3         ABG316A         QwsC3Hs           30.0         ABG40         ABG40           30.0         ABG38         ABG40           70.0         ABG38         ABG40           71.0         ABG40         ABG40           71.0         ABG40         ABG40           81.6         ABG41         ABG40           91.3         ABG703A         ABG703A           92.7         ABG463         MM05716           92.7         ABG463         MM05716           92.7         ABG463         ABG40           103.4         ABG40         ABG40           118.6         ABG43         ABG40           120.7         ABG463         ABG40           131.8	0.0.1 WWG824 14.1 WWG824 14.1 WWG824 14.1 WWG824 14.1 WWG824 14.1 WWG824 17.2 B32 B32 B32 B32 B32 B32 B32 B32 B32 B3	0.0 4.7 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8	0.0	0.0 ABC774 0.7 AB

Fig. 1. QTLs of heavy metals absorption traits in 72 barley doubled haploid lines in non-stress and arsenic stress conditions.

Fluorescence index was used as a tool to detect QTLs under drought stress in wheat (Czyczylo-Mysza et al. 2011), rice (Gu et al., 2012) and barley (Guo et al., 2008; Wo'jcik-Jagla et al., 2013) and also under Saline conditions in barley (Aminfar et al., 2011). Czyczylo-Mysza et al. (2013) have been used QTLs for leaf chlorophyll fluorescence parameters to determine the efficiency of photosynthesis in wheat and its association with biomass productivity and yield. Although QTL studies provide useful information about the genetic factors that control trait inheritance, there are limitations in applying this method like low heritability of some traits, and performing accurate experiments and analyzing models for identification of QTLs within breeding programs (Shahinnia et al., 2014). Using segregating populations, saturated molecular map and proper experimental designs have solved this problem to some extent. Selecting the major and stable QTLs is a proper method to screening paternal lines in breeding programs to enhance productivity of agronomic traits. In the QTL analysis, the value of additive effect has a great effect on genetic variations of genotypes (Zhu et al., 1999). Discoverin and transfering of valuable QTL alleles from unadapted donor lines into established elite inbred lines and pyramiding QTL alleles in a genotype stated as suitable methods in performing marker assisted selections (Dadley, 1993 and Zhu et al., 1999). QTL analysis is difficult in polyploid crops like wheat. Due to its simple inbreeding diploid genetics barley is an excellent experimental model for other cereals such as wheat, which have more complex polyploid genomes and genetics. It is possible to identify homologous regions for QTLs in cereals using the same types of markers to construct the genetic map (Bezant et al., 1997). The aim of plant breeders is producing genotypes with a high stable vield production under and different environmental conditions (Yadav et al. 2003). This is the objective of barley breeders as it is grown in a range of extreme environments and have adapted to diverse environmental conditions. QTLs QFo5H.n and QFo5H.s is ranged from 148.8 cM of chromosome 5H, controlling Fo and QTLs Qch7H.n and Qch7H.s in Location from 14.01 cM of chromosome 7H, controlling Chlorophyll contents were quite stable. Producing a stable yield is possible via finding the OTLs with the least Q×E interaction and producing adapted to different environmental genotypes conditions via pyramiding the OTLs. In considering the above factors, the first important factor for plant breeding is the stability of detected QTLs. Stable QTLs show relative gene expression stability and is able to overcome the Q×E interaction. In the present study, almost all detected QTLs were unstable; in other word different QTLs were associated with a particular trait under control and arsenic stress conditions.

It is important for multiple-environments analysis QTLs to detect environment-dependent QTLs (Siahsar and Naroui, 2010). In addition, different error values in different experiments, may also lead to QTL instability. QTL analysis using a variety of genotypes and populations under a range of locations and over several years is needed to introducing stable QTLs.

## CONCLUSION

The present study was the first investigation on QTL analysis of physiological traits of double haploid population of barley under the arsenic stress condition in Iran. In total, 23 QTLs were detected for studied traits. QTLs QFo5H.n and QFo5H.s is ranged from 148.8 cM of chromosome 5H, controlling Fo and QTLs Qch7H.n and Qch7H.s in Location from 14.01 cM of chromosome 7H, controlling Chlorophyll contents were quite stable. Therefore, if this result would repeat in different environment, years and genotypes, it can be used in marker assisted selection.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, F., Rafii, M.Y., Ismail, M.R, Juraimi, A.S., Rahim, H.A., Asfaliza, R., and Latif. M.A. (2013). Waterlogging tolerance of crops: breeding, mechanism of tolerance, molecular approaches, and future prospects. *BioMed Res Int.* 2013: 10.
- Aminfar, Z., Dadmehr, M., Korouzhdehi, B., Siahsar, B.A., and Heidari, M. (2011). Determination of chromosomes that control physiological traits associated with salt tolerance in barley at the seedling stage. *African Jurnal of Biotechology*, 10(44): 8794-8799.
- Bates, I.S., Waldem, R.P., and Teare, I.D. (1973). Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. *Plant and Soil*, **39**: 205-207.
- Behra, R.K., Mishra, P., and Choudhury, N.K. (2002). High irradiance and water stress induce alterations in pigment composition and chloroplast activities of primary wheat leaves. *Journal of plant physiology*, 159(9): 967-973.
- Bertholdsson, N.O. (2013). Screening for barley waterlogging tolerance in Nordic barley cultivars (*Hordeum* vulgare L.) using chlorophyll fluorescence on hydroponically grown plants. Agronomy, 3(2): 376-390.
- Bezant, J., Laurie, D., Pratchett, N., Chojecki, J., and Kearsey, M.J. (1997). Mapping QTLs controlling yield and yield components in a spring barley (*Hordeum* valgare L.) cross using marker regression. *Molecular Breeding*, 3: 29-38.
- Close, T., Bhat, P., Lonardi, S., Wu, Y., Rostoks, N., Ramsay, L., Druka, A., Stein, N., Svensson, J., Wanamaker, S., Bozdag, S., Roose, M., Moscou, M., and Chao, S. (2009). Development and implementation of high-throughput SNP genotyping in barley. *BMC Genomics*, **10**(1): 582.

- Cooper, M., Eeuwijk, F.A.V., Hamme, G.L., Podlich, D.W., and Messina, C. (2009). Modeling QTL for complex traits: detection and context for plant breeding. *Plant Biology*, **12**: 231-240.
- Czyczylo-Mysza, I., Marcin'ska, I., Skrzypek, E., Chrupek, M., Grzesiak, S., Hura, T., Stojalowski, S., Mys'ko'w, B., Milczarski, P., and Quarrie, S. (2011). Mapping QTLs for yield components and chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters in wheat under three levels of water availability. *Plant Genetic Resource*, 9(2): 291-295.
- Domingues, D.S., Takahashi, H.W., Camara, C.A.P., and Nixdorf, S.L. (2012). Automated system developed to control PH and concentration of nutrient solution evaluated in hydroponic lettuce production. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 84: 53-61.
- Dudley, J.W. (1993). Molecular markers in plant improvement: Manipulation of genes affecting quantitative traits. *Crop Science*, 33: 660-668.
- Fakheri, B.A., and Mehravaran, L. (2014). QTLs mapping of physiological and biochemical traits of barley under drought stress condition. *Iranian Journal of Crop Sciences*, 15(4): 367-386. (In Persian). Forstner.
- Grafts-Brander, S.J., and Salvucci, M.E. (2002). Sensitivity of photosynthesis in a C4 plant, maize, to heat stress. *Plant Physiology*, **129**: 1773-1780.
- Gu, J., Yin, X., Struik, P.C., Stomph, T.J., and Wang, H. (2012). Using chromosome introgression lines to map quantitative trait loci for photosynthesis parameters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) leaves under drought and well-watered field conditions. *Journal* of Experimental Botany, 63: 455-469.
- Guo, P., Baum, M., Varshney, R.K., Graner, A., Grando, S., Ceccarelli, S. (2008). QTLs for chlorophyll and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in barley under post-flowering drought. *Euphytica*, 163: 203-214.
- Hayes, P.M. (1992). Economic trait loci (quantitative trait loci = QTL) analysis progress report. North American Barley Genome Mapping Project (NABGMP). Barley Genet. Newsl, 21: 30-31.
- Hayes, PM., Liu, BH., Knapp, SJ., Chen, F., Jones, B., Blake, T., Franckowiak, J., Rasmmusson, D., Sorrells, M., Ullrich, SE., Wesenberg, D., Kleinhofs, A. (1993). Quantitative trait locus effects and environmental interaction in a Sample of North American barley germplasm. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics.* 87: 392-401.
- Irigoyen, J.J. Emerich, D.W., Sanchez-Diaz, M. (1992). Water stress induced changes in concentration of proline and total soluble sugars in nodulated alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) plants. *Plant Physiology*, 84: 55-60.
- Jansen RC, Stam P. (1994). High resolution of quantitative traits into multiple loci via interval mapping. *Genetics*, 138: 1447-1455.
- Khalily, M., Aharizade, S., Tourchi, M., Moghadam, M., and Peighambari, S.A. (2014). Mapping OTLs for Traits Associated with Barley Grain Malt in Drought Stress Conditions. Seedling and Seed, 30-1: 457-476. (In Persian).

- Kleinhofs. A., Kilian, A., Saghai. Maroof., MA, Biyashev. RM., Hayes, PM., Qchen, F., Laption, N., Fenwick, A., Blake, TK., Kanazin, V., Ananiev, E., Dahleen, L., Kudrna, D., Bollinger J, Knapp SJ, Liu B, Sorrells M, Heun M, Franckowiak JD, Hoffman D, Skaden R, Steffeson. BJ. (1993). A molecular, isozyme and morphological map of the barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genome. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 86: 705-712.
- Kumar, A., Dwivedi, S., Singh, R.K., Chakrabarty, D., Mallick, S., Trivedi, P.K., and Adhikari, B. (2014). Evaluation of amino acid profile in contrasting arsenic accumulating rice genotypes under arsenic stress. *Biologia Plantarum*, 58(4): 733-742.
- Kuramata, M., Abel, T., Kawasakil A., Ebana, K., Shibaya, T., Yano, M. and Ishikawa, S. (2013). Genetic diversity of arsenic accumulation in rice and QTL analysis of methylated arsenic in rice grains. *Rice*. 6: 3.
- Maxwell, K., and Johnson, G. (2002). Chlorophyll fluorescence- a paractical guide. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **51**: 659-668.
- Mohammadi, M., Talei, A., Zeinali, H. Naghavi, M.R., and Baum. M. (2008). Mapping some QTLs controlling drought tolerance in a doubled haploid population of barley. *Seedling and Seed*, 24: 1-15. (In Persian
- Munns, R., Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 59: 651-681.
- Naumann, JC., Young, D.R., Anderson. J.E. (2008). Leaf chlorophyll fluorescence, reflectance, and physiological response to fresh water and saltwater flooding in the evergreen shrub, Myricacerifera. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 63: 402-409.
- Parvaiz, A., Satyawati, S. (2008). Salt stress and phytoblochemical responses of plants. *Plant Soil and Environment*, 54: 89-99.
- Pessarakli, M. (1999). Handbook of plant and crop stress. Marcel Dekker Inc. New York. 720 p.
- Popova, L.P., Maslenkova, L.T., Yordanova, R.Y., Ivanova, A.P., Krantev, A.P., Szalai, G., and Janda, T. (2009). Exogenous treatment with salicylic acid attenuates cadmium toxicity in pea seedlings. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, **47**: 224-231.
- Qiu, F.Z., Zheng, Z.L., and Xu, S.Z. (2007). Mapping of QTL associated with water logging tolerance during the seedling stage in maize. Ann Bot., 99: 1067-1081.
- Sally, E., Smith, M., Christophersen, S., Pope, F., and Smith, A. (2010). Arsenic uptake and toxicity in plants: integrating mycorrhizal influences. *Plant Soil*, **327**: 1-21.
- Shahinnia, F., Rezaei, A.M., Seyedtabatabaei, B.A., and moohammadi, S.A. (2014). QTL mapping of yield and yield components in barley lines. *Seedling and Seed*, **30-1**: 85-101. (In Persian).
- Shaibur, M.R., and Kawai, S. (2011). Arsenic toxicity in Akitakomachi rice in presence of Fe<sup>3+</sup>-citrate. Advances in Environmental Biology, 5(6): 1411-1422.

- Shaibur, M.R., Kitajima, N., Imamul-Huq, S.M., and Kawai, S. (2009). Arsenic-iron interaction: Effect of additional iron on arsenic-induced chlorosis in barley grown in water culture. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 55: 739-746.
- Siahsar, B.A., Narouei, M. (2010). Mapping QTLs of physiological traits associated with salt tolerance in Steptoe × Morex doubled haploid lines of barley at seedling stage. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 8(2): 751-759.
- Sinha, A.K., Wankhede, D.P., and Gupta, M. (2013). Arsenic Toxicity in Crop Plants: Approaches for Stress Resistance. Crop Improvement under Adverse Conditions, 347-360.
- Smethurst, C.F., and Shabala, S. (2003). Screening methods for water logging tolerance in lucerne: comparative analysis of water logging effects on chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthesis, biomass and chlorophyll content. *Functional Plant Biology*, **30**: 335-343.
- Taiz, L., and Zeiger, E. (1998). Plant Physiology. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Publisher, Sanderland Massachusetts.
- This, D., Borris, C.C. Souyris, I., and Teulat, B. (2000). QTL study of chlorophyll content as a genetic parameter of drought tolerance in barley. *Barley Genet. Newsl*, **30**: 20.
- Wang S, Basten CJ, Zeng Z.B. (2007). Windows QTL cartographer 2.5. Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC. Available at http://statgen.ncsu.edu/qtlcart/wQTLcart.htm.
- Wang, B., Lan, T., Wu, W.R., and Li, W.M. (2003). Mapping of QTLs controlling Chlorophyll content in rice. *Agriculture Since in China*, 30: 112.

- Wang, S.H., Basten, C.J., Gaffney, P., and Zeng, Z.B. (2004). Windowes QTLCartographer 2.0 User Manual. Bioinformatics Research Center NC StateUniversity, USA.
- Wo'jcik-Jagla, M., Rapacz, M., Tyrka, M., Ko'scielniak, J., Crissy, K.Z., and muda, K. (2013). Comparative QTL analysis of early short time drought tolerance on Polish fodder and malting spring barleys. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics.*, **126**: 3021-3034.
- Yadav, R.S., Bidinger, F.R., Hash, C.T., Yadav, Y.P., Yadav, O.P., Bhatnagar, S.K., and Howarth C, J. (2003). Mapping and characterization of QTL× E interactions for traits determining grain and stover yield in pearl millet. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **106**: 512-520.
- Yang, D.L., Lian, J.R., Ping, C.X. and Wei, L. (2007). Quantitative trait loci mapping for chlorophyll fluorescaence and associated traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Journal of Plant Biology, 49(5): 646-654.
- Yin, Z., Meng, F., Song, H., He, X., Xu, X., Yu. D. (2010). Mapping quantitative trait loci associated with chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr). *Planta*. 231: 875-885
- Zeng, Z.B. (1994). Precision mapping of quantitative trait loci. *Genetics*, **136**: 1457-1468.
- Zhang, Z.B., Xu, P., Jia, J.Z., and Zhou, R.H. (2010). Quantitative trait loci for leaf chlorophyll fluorescence traits in wheat. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 4: 571-579.
- Zhu, H., Bricero, G., Dovel, R., Hayes, P.M., Liu, B.H., and Ullrich, S.E. (1999). Molecular breeding for grain yield in barley: An evaluation of QTL effects in aspring barley. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **98**: 772-779.